

SPEAKING TEST MARK SHEET	Part 1	dialogue	asking for and giving personal information
	Part 2	monologue	comparing, contrasting and interpreting pictures/situations talking about opinions, likes and dislikes; giving reasons
	Part 3	dialogue	making and responding to suggestions, discussing opinions; negotiating and making choices

ASSESSOR

Interaction (based on Parts I <u>and</u> III)		+/-	(max. 5)	4
<p>+ Part 1 asks questions, maintains, facilitates elaboration for B 21 pilots: releases floor to B</p> <p>Part 3 responds to what was said before</p>	<p>- Part 1 beginning ("So") and transitions a bit clumsy, starts answering topic 2 without interacting</p> <p>Part 3 just one question, answers without any real interaction, doesn't listen correctly (1x: usual food)</p>			

Language & Production (based on Parts I to III)

Content		+/-	(max. 5)	5
<p>+ Part 1 relevance (R) given, elaboration (E) good, gives definitions of some terms, coherence (C) very good</p> <p>Part 2 compare & contrast (CC): key message & core similarity given, further aspects, guessing/interpreting ✓, (little) personal response, E ✓ gives reasons & definitions; follow-up (FUP): R ✓, E ✓ reasons, C ✓</p> <p>Part 3 R/E/C ✓</p>	<p>- Part 1 --</p> <p>Part 2 some coherence missing (right > left > right)</p> <p>Part 3 C one abrupt change of topic (energy/cycling), cold water: E a bit weak</p>			

Pronunciation		+/-	(max. 5)	4
<p>+ ✓ comprehensible ✓ individual sounds ✓ word stress some self-correction (follow-up question, "these days")</p>	<p>- some German sounds (not affecting comprehension) good/gut festival s-sound in consuming intonation often monotonous, not much variation</p>			

Grammar & Vocabulary		+/-	(max. 5)	5
<p>+ range GR ✓ passive, rel. clause, gerund, participles, if, range of tenses, present perfect VOC ✓ infrastructure, professional, insist on, Alps, stand-by mode, obviously, restriction, black screen, fuel, devices compensation no meaning obscured, monitors successfully</p>	<p>- accuracy occasional inaccuracies that do not interfere with meaning GR "Fabio ... which ..." pronouns: "admire him for them that he just does it" VOC cyclist, probably (repetitive and not idiomatic)</p>			

INTERLOCUTOR (GENERAL IMPRESSION)

Interaction (based on Parts I <u>and</u> III)			(max. 5)	4
Language & Production (based on Parts I <u>to</u> III)			(max. 5)	5

27

Signature – Assessor

Signature – Interlocutor

Total (max.30)

SPEAKING TEST MARK SHEET	Part 1	dialogue	asking for and giving personal information
	Part 2	monologue	comparing, contrasting and interpreting pictures/situations talking about opinions, likes and dislikes; giving reasons
	Part 3	dialogue	making and responding to suggestions, discussing opinions; negotiating and making choices

ASSESSOR

Interaction (based on Parts I <u>and</u> III)	+/-	(max. 5)	5
<p>+ Part 1 answers/reacts, listens & responds to what was said before initiates new thoughts, opens up thematic doors/opportunities for response tries to facilitate turn-taking ("Turkey"), coordinates change of topic, nicely wraps up topic 2 ("that's why...")</p> <p>Part 3 opens up/initiates, maintains, asks questions, closes conversation naturally, responds & refers to what was said before, eye-contact, initiates new aspects (household, food)</p>		<p>- Part 1 closes topic 1 a bit harshly ("Ok")</p> <p>Part 3 --</p>	

Language & Production (based on Parts I to III)

Content	+/-	(max. 5)	4
<p>+ Part 1 relevance (R) very good, elaboration (E) good, coherence (C) good</p> <p>Part 2 compare & contrast (CC): key message & core similarity & difference given, further aspects, conclusions/interpretations based on (descriptive) details, follow-up (FUP) R/E/C ✓</p> <p>Part 3 R very good</p>		<p>- Part 1 some weak E (Roman Empire) and C (Italy – Turkey)</p> <p>Part 2 CC some C missing (left > right > key > left > right ...)</p> <p>FUP does not give reasons</p> <p>Part 3 some weak E & C (thereby, near/far)</p> <p>food/water: repeats A's thoughts</p>	

Pronunciation	+/-	(max. 5)	5
<p>+ ✓ comprehensible</p> <p>✓ individual sounds</p> <p>✓ word stress</p> <p>✓ intonation (cup of coffee ... maybe it was a hot day)</p> <p>✓ ability to self-correct (meditating)</p>		<p>- some individual sounds (not affecting comprehension): loved, plug</p>	

Grammar & Vocabulary	+/-	(max. 5)	5
<p>+ range</p> <p>GR ✓ wide range: present perfect, participles, comparison, if 1, some nice chunks (don't think they would enjoy it that much either)</p> <p>VOC ✓ to be honest, travel guy, scenery, keen on, blanket, self-taught, idol, el. devices, neck muscles, implement</p> <p>compensation not needed</p>		<p>- accuracy</p> <p>occasional inaccuracies that do not interfere with meaning</p> <p>GR he was never been to music school</p> <p>VOC Italy, I went to/there</p>	

INTERLOCUTOR (GENERAL IMPRESSION)

Interaction (based on Parts I <u>and</u> III)	(max. 5)	5
Language & Production (based on Parts I <u>to</u> III)	(max. 5)	5

29

Signature – Assessor

Signature – Interlocutor

Total (max.30)

SPEAKING TEST MARK SHEET	Part 1	dialogue	asking for and giving personal information
	Part 2	monologue	comparing, contrasting and interpreting pictures/situations talking about opinions, likes and dislikes; giving reasons
	Part 3	dialogue	making and responding to suggestions, discussing opinions; negotiating and making choices

ASSESSOR

Interaction (based on Parts I <u>and</u> III)	+/-	(max. 5)	4
<p>+ Part 1 asks one question, reacts to B (also non-verbally) & encourages conversation, relates nicely to what was said (good ... passion) Part 3 summarizes task at the beginning, ability to respond (fish-farming, fruit/not local)</p>	<p>- Part 1 hardly any initiating (one question only), is rather being 'interviewed', does not always respond adequately (lovely) Part 3 very little initiating, "yes genau"</p>		

Language & Production (based on Parts I to III)

Content	+/-	(max. 5)	3
<p>+ Part 1 relevance (R) good throughout, elaboration (E) ok, coherence (C) ok Part 2 compare & contrast (CC): core difference given (inside/outside), guessing/interpreting ✓, personal response ✓, follow-up (FUP) R ok/rather general, C ok all in all Part 3 R good, C ok</p>	<p>- Part 1 some poor E (lawyer, cleaning job), C some thoughts not presented logically (flight attendant) Part 2 CC: R many irrelevant descriptive elements, some poor aspects, E no specifications/examples, FUP poor E (specifications & examples missing), C some repetition Part 3 E rather poor/states only, some topic hopping, C ideas not always connected (overfishing no - yes, beef/pizza)</p>		

Pronunciation	+/-	(max. 5)	3
<p>+ ✓ generally comprehensible ✓ word stress ✓ intonation sometimes quite nice (this job is kinda cool; oh no ...)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">individual sounds +/-</p>	<p>- some difficulties in general; individual sounds affecting comprehension: th (fourth), v/w, j, o/u (whole), automath, regions/choose ... >< not affecting: talented, France word stress psychologist, vegetarian, talented sometimes hesitation impedes intonation, voice goes up at end of sentence</p>		

Grammar & Vocabulary	+/-	(max. 5)	3
<p>+ range GR some range of simple structures & attempts (passive, if), gerund (hate being), looks concentrated VOC some range: go for, big goals, psychologist, application, factory farming, vegetarian, vegan, impress compensation +/- in this place, to can ... to speak ...</p>	<p>- accuracy some mistakes may affect understanding GR studying/to study, both very worse, many plastic, I are, word order (where you sit the whole day in a box office ...) VOC (some repetition): box office, economic, too less, woods, metallic, isn't that worth it, automath, yes genau, environment/economy, to the snacks, beef/meat</p>		

INTERLOCUTOR (GENERAL IMPRESSION)

Interaction (based on Parts I <u>and</u> III)	(max. 5)	4
Language & Production (based on Parts I <u>to</u> III)	(max. 5)	3

20

Signature – Assessor

Signature – Interlocutor

Total (max.30)

SPEAKING TEST MARK SHEET	Part 1	dialogue	asking for and giving personal information
	Part 2	monologue	comparing, contrasting and interpreting pictures/situations talking about opinions, likes and dislikes; giving reasons
	Part 3	dialogue	making and responding to suggestions, discussing opinions; negotiating and making choices

ASSESSOR

Interaction (based on Parts I <u>and</u> III)	+/-	(max. 5)	5
--	------------	----------	----------

<p>+ Part 1 opens up conversation & topics (jobs you don't like, flight attendant), responds nicely (yes, same ...), summarizes Part 3 initiates contributions & final ranking, responds & gives reasons, relates to partner's answers, asks for clarification</p>	<p>- Part 1 initiates cleaning job again Part 3 maybe a bit too much questioning</p>
--	--

Language & Production (based on Parts I to III)

Content	+/-	(max. 5)	4
----------------	------------	----------	----------

<p>+ Part 1 relevance (R) good, elaboration (E) "nurse" & "office" thoroughly elaborated, coherence (C) good in general Part 2 compare & contrast (CC): core similarity & descriptive elements, some (descriptive) differences, guessing ✓, interpreting ✓, conclusion ✓, E ok follow-up (FUP) R/E/C good Part 3 R good, C ok/nice summary</p>	<p>- Part 1 C nurse >< outside >< more money Part 2 CC no introduction, no core difference C some contrasting lacking Part 3 E just keywords/no further elaboration, doesn't explain the "problem", gives question right back C pizza/snacks: reasoning not clear (plastic)</p>
--	--

Pronunciation	+/-	(max. 5)	4
----------------------	------------	----------	----------

<p>+ ✓ comprehensible (mostly) ✓ individual sounds ✓ word stress ✓ intonation ok</p>	<p>- individual sounds affecting comprehension: lawyer, sew, snacks (not affecting: vegetable, pizza) intonation monotonous sometimes some sentences sound a bit like questions</p>
---	--

Grammar & Vocabulary	+/-	(max. 5)	4
---------------------------------	------------	----------	----------

<p>+ range GR good range: gerund (like being), relative clause, indirect question, correct participles, adverbs. passive, adverb, ... VOC some range: weird, sew, into it, local, flight attendant, overfishing, meet twice in life, buy local compensation monitors herself & starts again</p>	<p>- accuracy some minor mistakes/do not obscure meaning GR partly switches between simple present & s. past some/any, wrong plural, is/are VOC (not impeding communication): in a industry, sit in industry, recipieces, buy the local, too long for me</p>
---	---

INTERLOCUTOR (GENERAL IMPRESSION)

Interaction (based on Parts I <u>and</u> III)	(max. 5)	5
--	----------	----------

Language & Production (based on Parts I <u>to</u> III)	(max. 5)	4
---	----------	----------

26

Signature – Assessor

Signature – Interlocutor

Total (max.30)

SPEAKING TEST MARK SHEET	Part 1	dialogue	asking for and giving personal information
	Part 2	monologue	comparing, contrasting and interpreting pictures/situations talking about opinions, likes and dislikes; giving reasons
	Part 3	dialogue	making and responding to suggestions, discussing opinions; negotiating and making choices

ASSESSOR

Interaction (based on Parts I and III)	+/-	(max. 5)	3
<p>+ Part 1 responds and evaluates contributions ("good point"), asks a question (fast fashion)</p> <p>Part 3 asks questions, releases floor/allows for contributions, nice responding (job), initiates contributions (friends)</p>		<p>- Part 1 asks question but does not respond to answer; "Can we have the second topic..?", abrupt change of topic/no coordination topic 2: does not initiate any more</p> <p>Part 3 just states, does not invite partner in "have kids one day": doesn't listen (1x)</p>	

Language & Production (based on Parts I to III)

Content	+/-	(max. 5)	4
<p>+ Part 1 relevance (R) very good, elaboration (E) good; coherence (C): thoughts are presented mainly logically</p> <p>Part 2 compare & contrast (CC): core similarity + core difference ✓, guessing ✓, interpreting (sceptically) ✓, E/C ok follow-up (FUP) R/E/C very good</p> <p>Part 3 R wide range of relevant aspects, E builds on what partner says, C very good</p>		<p>- Part 1 topic 2: states only; C: clean > trash > clean</p> <p>Part 2 CC no further details (descriptive only), no personal response or conclusion</p> <p>Part 3 E not too thorough, but meets 'Realschule standards'</p>	

Pronunciation	+/-	(max. 5)	4
<p>+ ✓ can be understood throughout</p> <p style="text-align: right;">+/- individual sounds +/- word stress</p> <p>✓ intonation: can stress sentences, can vary intonation (I don't have siblings)</p>		<p>- individual sounds affecting comprehension: whole, oven not affecting/some german coloring: them/'dem', voiced vs. voiceless: job/chop, dz/j (just), minimal pairs of/off, r-sounds (breakfast, important), k/kt (pictures, direction), there's/does, too/tju, th (things/thingth)</p> <p>intonation: monotonous at times</p>	

Grammar & Vocabulary	+/-	(max. 5)	4
<p>+ range GR wide range: gerund, participle construction, indirect question, present perfect, comparison, modals, questions, adverbs, if 0, if 1</p> <p>VOC some range: self-expression, matching to mood, fast fashion, making memories, sceptically, nursing garb, none of my business, connection;</p> <p>compensation self-corrects</p>		<p>- accuracy mistakes may affect comprehension at times</p> <p>GR thought, some plurals, good/well, tenses, word order</p> <p>VOC (not impeding communication): some wrong prepositions, not precise: living is important for me, your point with the social thing, some repetition (clean) idiomatic flaw: it goes in the same direction</p>	

INTERLOCUTOR (GENERAL IMPRESSION)

Interaction (based on Parts I and III)	(max. 5)	3
Language & Production (based on Parts I to III)	(max. 5)	4

22

Signature – Assessor

Signature – Interlocutor

Total (max.30)

SPEAKING TEST MARK SHEET	Part 1	dialogue	asking for and giving personal information
	Part 2	monologue	comparing, contrasting and interpreting pictures/situations talking about opinions, likes and dislikes; giving reasons
	Part 3	dialogue	making and responding to suggestions, discussing opinions; negotiating and making choices

ASSESSOR

Interaction (based on Parts I and III)	+/-	(max. 5)	4
---	-----	----------	----------

<p>+ Part 1 responds/reacts adequately (job), asks a specifying question (How long does it take?), initiates contributions (how clothes are produced)</p> <p>Part 3 reacts/responds, asks questions</p>	<p>- Part 1 some rather general questions</p> <p>Part 3 addresses three topics in one contribution (money – friends – health) without releasing the floor; confronts her partner with "way of living" which makes it hard for her partner to respond & keep the conversation going some responding not adequate: So do I. (2x)</p>
---	--

Language & Production (based on Parts I to III)

Content	+/-	(max. 5)	4
----------------	-----	----------	----------

<p>+ Part 1 relevance (R) good, elaboration (E) good; coherence (C) ok; builds on partner's job-aspects</p> <p>Part 2 compare & contrast (CC): core difference ✓, guessing/interpreting ✓, conclusion ✓, E ok, C ok</p> <p>follow-up (FUP) R very good/E good/C ok</p> <p>Part 3 R good (variety of aspects) & E good, C ok</p>	<p>- Part 1 chores: some aspects repeated</p> <p>Part 2 CC no introduction, no key message/core similarity, some description</p> <p>FUP C argumentation in a circle</p> <p>Part 3 R some aspects lifted from A (travel)</p>
---	---

Pronunciation	+/-	(max. 5)	3
----------------------	-----	----------	----------

<p>+ individual sounds ok word stress ok intonation ok ability to self-correct ok</p>	<p>- individual sounds: bad for the ..., clothes/close/clodes, overview/point of view/few, were/wear, sports/sports, countries, job, chores</p> <p>intonation rather monotonous no self-correction: a other point</p>
---	---

Grammar & Vocabulary	+/-	(max. 5)	3
---------------------------------	-----	----------	----------

<p>+ range GR mainly simple, some complex: adverb, comparison, passive, infinitive constructions, gerund</p> <p>VOC deal with, point of view, fair production, quality, mentioned in the news, overview, to structure, clear mind, positive impact</p> <p>compensation monitors/self-corrects (clear mind, important, produced)</p>	<p>- accuracy mistakes affect comprehension at times</p> <p>GR I am helping so 30 minutes, so do I, wrong word order not obscuring: incorrect use of present progressive, that do I sometimes too, is it..., use of pronouns: make me a plan</p> <p>VOC (may obscure): destinies/destinations, take a look at my siblings, both vs everyone, sitting on the table; (not obscuring) on myself, informations</p> <p>compensates lack of words by topic-hopping</p>
--	--

INTERLOCUTOR (GENERAL IMPRESSION)

Interaction (based on Parts I and III)	(max. 5)	4
---	----------	----------

Language & Production (based on Parts I to III)	(max. 5)	3
--	----------	----------

21

Signature – Assessor

Signature – Interlocutor

Total (max.30)